

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/493,753	MCCARTHY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kenneth Tang	2195	

**All Participants:**

(1) Kenneth Tang.

(2) Craig Cox.

**Status of Application:** Amendment after non-final

(3) Lewis Bullock.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 January 2007

**Time:** 12pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

1

**Claims discussed:**

1

**Prior art documents discussed:**

NA

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to remove the "for" in claim 1, line 1 to overcome issues regarding 35 USC 101*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Kenneth Tang  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

[Signature]  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)